

From: user@enron.com on behalf of "user@enron.com"
Sent: Mon, 01 Jan 2001 10:00:00 -0800
To: recipient@enron.com
Subject: Enron Sample Thread

Message-ID: <19369612.1075846376671.JavaMail.evans@thyme>

Date: Mon, 31 Jul 2000 01:59:00 -0700 (PDT)

From: steven.kean@enron.com

To: maureen.mcvicker@enron.com

Subject: Re: Project Stanley

Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

X-From: Steven J Kean

X-To: Maureen McVicker

X-cc:

X-bcc:

X-Folder: \Steven_Kean_Dec2000_1\Notes Folders\Sent

X-Origin: KEAN-S

X-FileName: skean.nsf

Please revise the document as Aleck's changes indicate. With respect to the alternative responses shown near the end of the document, leave both alternatives in.

----- Forwarded by Steven J Kean/HOU/EES on 07/31/2000 08:58 AM -----

Richard Shapiro

07/31/2000 07:08 AM

To: Aleck Dadson/TOR/ECT@ECT

cc: Steven J Kean/HOU/EES@EES, Mark Palmer/Corp/Enron@ENRON@ECT, Eric Thode/Corp/Enron@ENRON@ECT, Richard B Sanders/HOU/ECT@ECT, Robert Hemstock/CAL/ECT@ECT, Ginger Dernehl/HOU/EES@EES

Subject: Re: Project Stanley

I am comfortable w/ the changes. On question of whether we colluded W/ Powerex, I would opt for simpler alternative and say we complied w/ all rules of the pool. Thanks.

To: Steven J Kean/HOU/EES@EES, Richard Shapiro/HOU/EES@EES, Mark Palmer/Corp/Enron@ENRON, Eric Thode/Corp/Enron@ENRON, Richard B Sanders/HOU/ECT@ECT, Robert Hemstock/CAL/ECT@ECT

cc: Ginger Dernehl/HOU/EES@EES

Subject: Project Stanley

Privileged and Confidential - For Instruction of Counsel

Attached is a revised copy of the Background note discussed earlier this week. The revisions are based on comments from Frontier Economics and GPC, and further reflection by Rob Hemstock and myself. I have also attached the raw calculations from Frontier that underlie several of the revisions. I have not sent the revised document to outside counsel for review.

