

From: user@enron.com on behalf of "user@enron.com"
Sent: Mon, 01 Jan 2001 10:00:00 -0800
To: recipient@enron.com
Subject: Enron Sample Thread

Message-ID: <28168159.1075848251882.JavaMail.evans@thyme>
Date: Mon, 16 Apr 2001 06:39:00 -0700 (PDT)
From: steven.kean@enron.com
To: aaron.brown@enron.com
Subject: Re: New Computer for Handling Stock Awards
Cc: mary.joyce@enron.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Bcc: mary.joyce@enron.com
X-From: Steven J Kean
X-To: Aaron Brown
X-cc: Mary Joyce
X-bcc:
X-Folder: \Steven_Kean_June2001_5\Notes Folders\Sent
X-Origin: KEAN-S
X-FileName: skean.nsf

Do what you need to do; the system has to work. The only question I have is: why doesn't IT just take care of this without a charge? This is not an extraordinary item or special request. This ought to be something IT simply takes care of without us having to get involved or pay extra. Am I missing something?

From: Aaron Brown/ENRON@enronXgate on 04/16/2001 09:41 AM
To: Steven J Kean/NA/Enron@Enron, Mary Joyce/ENRON@enronXgate
cc:

Subject: New Computer for Handling Stock Awards

Steve,

The IT group put it like this..."Justification: Current Server is PL1850, and has reached it's capacity and is non-redundant."

That means that our current system:

- (1) has a slow processor
- (2) is nearly out of memory for stock award administration
- (3) doesn't have a "real-time" back up in case the hard drives fails
- (4) needs to be upgraded

The IT group has quoted about \$19,000 for a new system that brings this server up to Enron's current server standards and takes care of the above issues.

Let me know if this expense is a problem and whether you need to be involved with these types of decisions in the future.

Thanks,
Aaron