

**From:** user@enron.com on behalf of "user@enron.com"  
**Sent:** Mon, 01 Jan 2001 10:00:00 -0800  
**To:** recipient@enron.com  
**Subject:** Enron Sample Thread

Message-ID: <10413394.1075848259489.JavaMail.evans@thyme>  
Date: Mon, 12 Feb 2001 06:34:00 -0800 (PST)  
From: maureen.mcvicker@enron.com  
To: leslie.lawner@enron.com  
Subject: RE: Steve Kean  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit  
X-From: Maureen McVicker  
X-To: Leslie Lawner  
X-cc:  
X-bcc:  
X-Folder: \Steven\_Kean\_June2001\_5\Notes Folders\Sent  
X-Origin: KEAN-S  
X-FileName: skean.nsf

Leslie:

I checked with Steve and he would like you to revise it.

Thanks,

Maureen

Leslie Lawner  
02/12/2001 11:36 AM

To: Steven J Kean/NA/Enron@Enron, 'Scott Govenar' <sgovenar@govadv.com/,"skean"  
cc:  
Subject: RE: Steve Kean

I agree with Mike's comments. Do you want me to revise, or should Mike?  
-Leslie

(Harry, this is the letter that you said you would circulate)

Steven J Kean  
02/11/2001 01:36 PM

To: Leslie Lawner/NA/Enron@Enron  
cc:  
Subject: RE: Steve Kean

----- Forwarded by Steven J Kean/NA/Enron on 02/11/2001 02:35 PM -----

MBD <MDay@GMSSR.com>  
02/09/2001 03:29 PM

To: "Scott Govenar" <sgovenar@govadv.com>, "skean@enron.com" <skean@enron.com>, "Sandi McCubbin Enron SF" <smccubbi@enron.com>  
cc:  
Subject: RE: Steve Kean

I think the letter is well organized to get our message across that a comprehensive solution is needed. The only concern I have is the reference at the end of the first paragraph to "the crisis grows daily with no clear resolution offering long term relief in sight." In fact we do not have several bills on demand side management, one by Wright on accelerating repowering of power plants and allowing incentives for voluntary conservation, at least a vehicle for utility cost recovery (AB-18X), and a Bown bill to restore direct access rights compromised by AB-1X. So I am concerned that the legislative leadership would take some umbrage at the notion that we don't see any of what they are doing as offering hope for a long term solution. Perhaps we could rephrase it to say that the piecemeal elements of positive solutions that have been discussed or offered need to be clarified and blended into a comprehensive proposal which has the following elements....and then lay out our case.

Sandi, do you agree with my concerns? Otherwise the elements of the letter are fine, and track what we are trying to draft in terms of legislative language. I will break down the proposals into groups of issues for ease of review, even though we may later want to consolidate the entire package.

Please call if you have any more questions.

Thanks,  
Mike Day

-----Original Message-----

From: Scott Govenar [<mailto:sgovenar@govadv.com>]  
Sent: Friday, February 09, 2001 7:56 AM  
To: Mike Day  
Subject: Steve Kean

Mike,

Sandi wanted me to forward a draft letter from Steve Kean to the Governor and legislature for your review and comment.

Scott